



Comments on Draft Survey

Comments received to date on the draft personal survey [*with some initial responses from MI*] :

**General**

NT I think it is important to explain to residents why the group is doing this-I am sure you will find that a lot of people in the village, have no idea at all about it.

Also I feel you need to explain what pressure ( from above ) there is on the village for more houses to be built. Then they will understand why you are sending the questionnaire around and trying to fight this. Otherwise I feel your questionnaire will just be binned.

**Q1/2/3 Age/gender; live or work in Peasmarsh; email or phone [optional]**

PL We would like as many people as possible to complete the questionnaire, therefore, I would add the option "Prefer not to say" to the first two questions. Not including them might put some people off completing the questionnaire;

In your e-mail you stated that giving contact details was optional but it's the third thing being asked! I suggest this bit be put right at the end.

I have had some further thoughts; why are we asking questions about age and gender? Does this make a difference as to how we interpret that person's views?

KH Instead of asking if they live or work in Peasmarsh, should we re-phrase the question to 'What is your connection to Peasmarsh?'

That way we can also include the views of landowners, property owners (who rent their homes either for residential use or as holiday lets), and any other business owner who neither lives nor works here. Otherwise, how will we ascertain who to send the more in-depth' business focused' questionnaire to later?

It would also give us an indication as to how many homes are currently being used as holiday lets.

**Q7 Are utility services adequate; write a few words to describe your experience of electricity/broadband/water/drainage**

PL/SC I would add gas.

MI *Gas was not included in the draft because of the Government policy to phase it out starting in the very near future.*

**Q10 How important are the following to you : open spaces/paths & public rights of way/local animals & plants/the views**

PL/SC I would add noise.



**Section 4 Future Development**

SC I don't think the boundary line on the map is clear. How about the boundary of the AONB?

MI *The map shown is just a placeholder for the real map which will hopefully come from RDC. The entire parish is under the AONB umbrella so there is no boundary for that.*

KH Is the following question suited for this questionnaire/survey or maybe for later down the line or not needed at all?

Q. If the population were to increase, do you see the parish as primarily a residential village acting as a dormitory for larger areas of employment, or as an economic centre in its own right with new jobs created?

A. Residential dormitory or economic centre.